

MINUTES
MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF PINCHER CREEK NO. 9
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
NOVEMBER 22, 2022

9623

The Regular Meeting of Council of the Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9 was held on Tuesday, November 22, 2022, at 6:00 pm, in the Council Chambers of the Municipal District Administration Building, Pincher Creek, Alberta.

PRESENT Reeve Rick Lemire, Deputy Reeve Tony Bruder, Councillors Dave Cox, Harold Hollingshead and John MacGarva.

STAFF Acting CAO Meghan Dobie, Utilities & Infrastructure Supervisor David Desabrais, Public Works Superintendent Eric Blanchard, Assistant Development Officer Laura McKinnon, Planner Gavin Scott, and Executive Assistant Jessica McClelland.

Reeve Rick Lemire called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.

A. PUBLIC HEARING BYLAW 1337-22

In order to receive public input on proposed Bylaw No. 1337-22, a Public Hearing, conducted by the Council of the Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9, was held on Tuesday, November 22, 2022.

1. Call Public Hearing to Order

Reeve Rick Lemire recessed the Council meeting and called the Public Hearing to order, the time being 6:00 pm.

A Public Hearing is Council's opportunity to hear from anyone who is affected by the proposed bylaw. General rules of conduct when a Public Hearing is held are as follows:

- The developer and/or proponent is given the first opportunity to present to Council and the public. After the public has made their statements, the developer has the opportunity to rebut or answer any questions.
- Members of the public will be invited to speak to the subject matter. We will ask members of public who wish to speak to state their name for the record. The speaking time limit is 5 minutes per speaker. If you have previously submitted a written response, unless you have new information to present, be assured that Council has read your letter. Please do not come to the podium to read your submitted response.
- The Reeve will call for any additional speakers to make sure everyone wishing to speak has had the opportunity to do so. As this is not a situation for debate, speakers may come up one time only.
- Following all presentations from members of public, the developer has the opportunity to rebut or answer any questions, Council may ask questions to Administration and/or developer.
- Council will then close the Public Hearing. This ends the opportunity for the public or Administration to provide information on the matter.

2. Advertising requirement

Reeve Rick Lemire stated that this Public Hearing had been advertised in accordance with Section 606 of the Municipal Government Act. This Public Hearing was advertised in Shootin the Breeze and the Pincher Creek Echo on November 9 and November 16, 2022, as well as the MD website and MD social media pages.

3. Purpose of the hearing

The purpose of Bylaw No. 1337-22 being the bylaw to amend Bylaw 1289-18 (being the Land Use Bylaw) to change the land use designation of lands legally described as Portion of SE 15-4-30 W4M "Agriculture - A" to "Rural Recreation 2 – RR-2" the purpose of the proposed amendment is to allow for the development of a recreational accommodation.

Planner Gavin Scott provided an overview of the bylaw.

4. Presentations:

VERBAL:

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if anyone in the audience wishes to make a statement. The following people in attendance spoke:

Michael Olsen (Developer)

- Provided background for the proposal
- He is a business owner in Waterton who has enjoyed the area most of his life
- Looking at this as an opportunity to change how people tour

Suzanne Kirby (Developer)

- Provided additional background for the proposal

Claren LaRocque

- Not in favor of open development but families need to be able to diversify
- Council can decide what is appropriate
- Council and the community need to have a discussion moving forward
- Very few ranches can sustain a family
- Community needs to allow for land shifts while recognizing culture
- Developer family is very community minded
- Majority of the landscape is already a mix of industry and ranches

Ethan Hardy

- We are not Waterton, we are Pincher Creek
- Current economy is lacking
- If Waterton needs more housing, they should talk to the Federal Government
- People can't afford groceries let alone recreation
- Local economy doesn't have anything going on
- A gas plant next to a geo dome is a health and safety issue

Kim Hardy

- Development proposal will bring in tourists that will be in the area causing security issues
- Lifestyle of adjacent landowners is being threatened
- Council should re look at where developments take place

Taylor Cahoon

- Is developer Michael Olson's brother in law
- Currently a teacher in Lundbreck
- Spoke to Michael Olson's credibility

Heidi Matheson

- Lives on Hardy farm
- Has no issue with developers credibility or the idea of the domes
- Doesn't want 60 new people being next door to her every 3 or 4 days

Mitch Hardy

- Travels for work and sees other areas where glamping is set up
- With the constant rotation of people is has a negative impact on locals
- Sees tourists picnicking in farmers fields
- People already come to their property as they get turned around trying to find lakes
- This development would take ag land out of ag and will effect the livestock and wildlife in the area

Kathy Day

- Council should look at project location and move proposal to a different area
- Concerns over loss of ag land
- Farms do need to diversify, just not on the farm
- What are tax implications for adjacent owners?
- Who will be responsible for road maintenance, snow removal, and dust control?
- If the gas plant has a blow out, who is responsible for litigation if there are injuries or death?

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

- A moratorium on further development should be put into place until there is a solid plan

Tracy Delay

- Speaking for the Carlson's and Delay's
- Trying to keep emotions aside and deal with the principle of the matter
- Why after the first hearing are we back here with only a single change from RR1 to RR2?
- Commercial operations shouldn't be allowed on native grass lands
- Concerns aren't with today, but what about the future
- What kind of message is Council sending? Are they serving the residents or the tourists
- Is the MD trying to protect lands and ecosystems, or approve rezoning for financial gain for people in cities
- MGA states Council will take into account the health and welfare of the MD as a whole
- There was minimal advertising and no information to find the development proposal
- Rezoning application lead to threats to ranching, fire and theft
- Who will be responsible for litigation should something happen?

Megan Metheral

- Who will be removing the water and wastewater?
- How will Council ensure that the effluent will be disposed of properly?
- Already issues with Town infrastructure for sewage disposal

Darryl Carlson

- Its not personal against anyone if MD approves these facilities, we don't want to be the next Canmore
- Council should put proposals on hold until public consultation is completed
- Not excited about having a 15 unit motel in his backyard

Barry Clinton

- Already submitted a written response
- No communication with residents, all advertisements shows is numbers
- Should be an open house prior to a public hearing

Taylor Delay

- Finishing her mothers speech
- Council should take a step back and reflect
- What legacy does Council want to leave?
- Strongly opposed the change in land use

Richard Hardy

- Has been ranching in the community for 54 years
- Finding this process very difficult because there is so much speculation from neighbors
- Community has always worked with and supported each other
- This is tearing the community apart but it will end
- Hoping everyone can take a deep breath and look at what it is doing to the community

Ron Mantle

- Understands why people are upset, if he had 60 people moving in next door he would be upset too
- This project isn't about educating the public about ranching, its about making money
- This is about well off people that could care less about ranches
- There needs to be a better strategy for these types of developments
- Highway 6 South to the mountains should be off limit to windmills and coal mines

Sophie LaRocque

- So much conflicting information being heard tonight
- Directly contradicting comments being made
- Ability for ranchers to stay on their land or sell out to outside developers
- Zero development is unrealistic and not sustainable
- There has to be space to responsible and sustainable grow and diversify
- Not allowing local families to diversify may mean families will need to sell and leave the area

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if anyone else wanted to present a verbal submission, no one else requested to speak.

WRITTEN:

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if any written submissions were received, the following were received and part of the public package:

- Barrie Clayton
- Shell Canada

Development Officer Assistant Laura McKinnon read submissions that were received after the Council package was produced.

Anne Stevick

- A resident of Division # 1, and having resided in this division for 34 years now; and before that having resided in the MD for another eight years
- MD has a very strong agricultural base and has had for a very long time, due to the foresight of past councils, governments and citizens.
- Would hate to see that change, realizes that we need diversification, but the reason that we attract outside visitors who bring cash into our local economy is that we still have open spaces, uncluttered viewsapes and a healthy agricultural/rural base that has taken care to retain those intrinsic qualities
- Each application that comes before Council, asking to re-zone agricultural land to a “better” use, I.e. commercial, country residential, recreational is innocent enough; but the cumulative effect if all these proposals are accepted is staggering over time! By setting a precedent of allowing 10 acres here, 30 acres somewhere else, a quarter section hidden away, to be removed from agricultural designation opens the gate to unlimited change of our landscape if we look 10 years into the future
- And 10 years is not very long, how about 30 or 50 years from now?
- Both proposals have been presented with small scale footprints (for now) but once established there is no stopping future expansion, change of ownership with other ideas, or additional proposals. It will never be “agricultural” again
- If applications are approved and re-zoning occurs there are a few points to consider for maintaining these developments:
 - Weed mitigation and monitoring: the MD weed crew already has enough invasive species to deal with; these projects need to be responsible for maintaining the property weed free
 - Water quality and usage, protection of existing springs, wells
 - Trespassing issues on neighbouring lands: how will they demarcate boundaries and rules of engagement?
 - Road access and maintenance: who foots the bill for road maintenance, dust control and access
 - Garbage disposal: who is responsible for garbage collection, picking plastic bags off the fences?
 - SAFETY: As far as the Blak Star proposal, this property is in the H2S evacuation zone of the Pecten Plant (Paradaen), and I believe would cause issues if there were an incident at the plant. How would the plant know who to contact in case of evacuation?
 - Also concerning the Blak Star Plan, disagree with llamas and alpacas as wildlife deterrents. Especially in the fall and late summer, they would be predator (bear) attractants

Dan Crawford

- Agriculture is hard. You rely on the weather to give you
- What you need to raise your products, whether it is raising livestock or growing plants
- No control over the price you receive for your product.
- Input costs are set by your suppliers and you are completely at the mercy of new regulations that may positively or negatively affect your operation

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

- Many farming or ranching operations must rely on additional income outside of their traditional revenue streams to survive
- Some operations now have windmills, green house, market gardens or U-pick orchards
- Many try raising a different type of livestock such as pigs, chickens, sheep, Lamas or for a while, Ostriches
- Some land owners have gravel or sand deposits that can be sold to bring new income to the operation
- New revenue streams must be developed whether it is for personal use, a company to grow and survive or local government pay for the services we all want, need or expect
- What does it cost to run a grader up and down your road? Gravel? A Culvert? Where does that money come from? Taxes
- Land taxes alone cannot cover the needs of our local Governments. In our area, we have had a steady tax revenue from the Waterton Gas Plant since the 1960' s and for the past 20 plus years, we have developed a tax base from windmills. As these industries change, look to new developments to continue funding our infrastructure
- There is a huge divide between rural and urban
- How do we make rural relevant again? Maybe it starts with an experience to help to bridge the gap between rural and urban
- Let's work together to show off our way of life.

Shannon Ripley

- Small-scale ranching as practiced in the Twin Butte area in a manner that cares for the native grassland and ecosystem is a special vocation which both provides food for people and preserves habitat for many species of wildlife who rely on the native rangeland habitat. Ranching and preserving small-scale ranching livelihoods are key components to preserving this landscape and the increasingly rare native grasslands. At the very same time, global commodity markets and the changing climate are and will increasingly make small-scale ranching economically challenging. I would like to see all of my cousins who ranch in this region be able to continue doing so, without needing to travel to other regions for off-farm employment to enable a viable household income.
- From her perspective, the Eco Globe Recreational Accommodation area as proposed is an effort by one ranching family to diversify their income, without needing to travel off the farm to work, while preserving the essence of their ranching operation and the native grassland habitat where their ranch is located. When carried out in a thoughtful manner, rural and eco-tourism initiatives can provide valuable opportunities to create meaningful dialogue between urban and rural communities and better understanding of rural livelihoods -- something that I feel we need more than ever, in this time of polarization of viewpoints.
- Respects and understands that proposals for change are challenging, and can cause discomfort
- In 2004-2007, lived and worked in a rural community in northwestern Argentina. During my time working and living in that community, watched first-hand as a group of rural residents planned and took their first steps in facilitating a small-scale rural tourism initiative. The objective of this particular project was to increase dialogue between urban and rural people and bring additional off-farm income into the rural community. The early stages of the rural tourism project were very challenging: some rural community members feared that having urban people visit would threaten their rural livelihoods. The project started, then paused due to this fear. In time, the rural tourism project started again, and more and more rural people joined to participate. It has now been operating successfully for more than 10 years; now with strong support from the broader community. Each situation is unique and the experiences will be unique. However, there is often much value in being open to small small-scale changes that explore opportunities for income diversification and the preservation and support of rural livelihoods

Michael Olsen (Developer)

- Proposal was not intended to divide the community

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

- Spoke to Darryl Carlson prior to the Kirby's, thanked Darryl for giving him Kirby's contact information
- Some small ranches need the additional income
- No one is getting rich off this
- Minimal impact, only 2 people per globe
- We need to live in a different way to be sustainable

5. Closing Comments

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if Council had any further questions. No further questions were asked.

6. Adjournment from Public Hearing

Councillor Harold Hollingshead adjourned the Public Hearing, the time being 7:27 pm.

B. PUBLIC HEARING BYLAW 1345-22

In order to receive public input on proposed Bylaw No. 1345-22, a Public Hearing, conducted by the Council of the Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9, was held on Tuesday, November 22, 2022.

1. Call Public Hearing to Order

Reeve Rick Lemire recessed the Council meeting and called the Public Hearing to order, the time being 7:34 pm.

A Public Hearing is Council's opportunity to hear from anyone who is affected by the proposed bylaw. General rules of conduct when a Public Hearing is held are as follows:

- The developer and/or proponent is given the first opportunity to present to Council and the public. After the public has made their statements, the developer has the opportunity to rebut or answer any questions.
- Members of the public will be invited to speak to the subject matter. We will ask members of public who wish to speak to state their name for the record. The speaking time limit is 5 minutes per speaker. If you have previously submitted a written response, unless you have new information to present, be assured that Council has read your letter. Please do not come to the podium to read your submitted response.
- The Reeve will call for any additional speakers to make sure everyone wishing to speak has had the opportunity to do so. As this is not a situation for debate, speakers may come up one time only.
- Following all presentations from members of public, the developer has the opportunity to rebut or answer any questions, Council may ask questions to Administration and/or developer.
- Council will then close the Public Hearing. This ends the opportunity for the public or Administration to provide information on the matter.

2. Advertising requirement

Reeve Rick Lemire stated that this Public Hearing had been advertised in accordance with Section 606 of the Municipal Government Act. This Public Hearing was advertised in Shootin the Breeze and the Pincher Creek Echo on November 9 and November 16, 2022, as well as the MD website and MD social media pages.

3. Purpose of the hearing

The purpose of Bylaw No. 1345-22 being the bylaw to amend Bylaw 1289-18 (being the Land Use Bylaw) to change the land use designation of lands legally described as Portion of Lot 1 Block 1 Plan 1911330 within the NE 20-6-1 W5M from "Agriculture - A" to "Rural Recreation 2 – RR-2" the purpose of the proposed amendment is to allow for the development of a recreational accommodation.

Planner Gavin Scott provided an overview of the bylaw.

4. Presentations:

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

VERBAL:

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if anyone in the audience wishes to make a statement. The following people in attendance spoke:

Brianna Morrison (Developer)

- Born and raised in the Pincher Creek area, 3rd generation on the ranch
- Very little ability to graze cattle on the 20 acres proposed to be rezoned
- Tourism is booming
- Development is not a campground, it is for 5 converted grain bins
- Willing to pay additional money for road maintenance and dust control
- Looking to assist and work with local businesses to bring in tourism dollars to the community

Megan Metheral

- Who will be removing the water and wastewater?
- Concerns with roadway and access points
- Has there been a slope stability test done for the area?
- How will traffic be mitigated?
- How will conflict with wildlife be monitored?

Brianna Morrison (Developer)

- Sewage will be in a tank and pumped and removed
- Nobody likes change, we are trying to do our best for all involved
- Trespassing won't be an issue, the area will be fence and signage posted
- As far as weeds and care of land, family has been stewards of this property for more than 50 years and will continue to control the weeds

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if anyone else wanted to present a verbal submission, no one else requested to speak.

WRITTEN:

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if any written submissions were received, the following were received and part of the public package:

- Barb McRae

Development Officer Assistant Laura McKinnon read submissions that were received after the Council package was produced.

Anne Stevick

- A resident of Division # 1, and having resided in this division for 34 years now; and before that having resided in the MD for another eight years
- MD has a very strong agricultural base and has had for a very long time, due to the foresight of past councils, governments and citizens.
- Would hate to see that change, realizes that we need diversification, but the reason that we attract outside visitors who bring cash into our local economy is that we still have open spaces, uncluttered viewsapes and a healthy agricultural/rural base that has taken care to retain those intrinsic qualities
- Each application that comes before Council, asking to re-zone agricultural land to a "better" use, I.e. commercial, country residential, recreational is innocent enough; but the cumulative effect if all these proposals are accepted is staggering over time! By setting a precedent of allowing 10 acres here, 30 acres somewhere else, a quarter section hidden away, to be removed from agricultural designation opens the gate to unlimited change of our landscape if we look 10 years into the future
- And 10 years is not very long, how about 30 or 50 years from now?

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

- Both proposals have been presented with small scale footprints (for now) but once established there is no stopping future expansion, change of ownership with other ideas, or additional proposals. It will never be “agricultural” again
- If applications are approved and re-zoning occurs there are a few points to consider for maintaining these developments:
 - Weed mitigation and monitoring: the MD weed crew already has enough invasive species to deal with; these projects need to be responsible for maintaining the property weed free
 - Water quality and usage, protection of existing springs, wells
 - Trespassing issues on neighbouring lands: how will they demarcate boundaries and rules of engagement?
 - Road access and maintenance: who foots the bill for road maintenance, dust control and access
 - Garbage disposal: who is responsible for garbage collection, picking plastic bags off the fences?

Chester and Travis McRae

- Development proposal makes no mention on how the owners will ensure guests, pets, recreational activities and any other personnel associated with the development stay on the development property. Trespassing will not be tolerated on our properties
- Development proposal makes no mention on how the owners will ensure that the MD of Pincher Creek Noise By-law will be adhered to, this includes gunfire. Any Noise Bylaw infractions will not be tolerated
- Development proposal indicates an open sewage discharge style system
- Development proposal makes no mention on how erosion and weeds are to be controlled during road and cabin site construction c/w a reseeding plan. The Castle River Valley is a environmentally sensitive area

5. Closing Comments

Reeve Rick Lemire asked if Council had any further questions. No further questions were asked.

6. Adjournment from Public Hearing

Councillor Harold Hollingshead adjourned the Public Hearing, the time being 7:54 pm.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Councillor Dave Cox 22/445

Moved that the Council Agenda for November 22, 2022 be approved as presented.

Carried

D. MINUTES

1. Committee Meeting Minutes – October 25, 2022

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/446

Moved that the Committee Meeting Minutes of October 25, 2022 be approved as presented.

Carried

2. Council Meeting Minutes – October 25, 2022

Councillor Harold Hollingshead 22/447

Moved that the Council Meeting Minutes of October 25, 2022 be approved as presented.

Carried

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

3. Organizational Meeting Minutes – October 25, 2022

Councillor Dave Cox 22/448

Moved that the Organizational Meeting Minutes of October 25, 2022 be approved as presented.

Carried

4. Special Council Meeting Minutes – November 1, 2022

Councillor John MacGarva 22/449

Moved that the Special Council Meeting Minutes of November 1, 2022 be approved as presented.

Carried

5. Special Council Meeting Minutes – November 15, 2022

Councillor John MacGarva 22/450

Moved that the Special Council Meeting Minutes of November 15, 2022 be approved as presented.

Carried

E. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

a) Bylaw 1342-22 Alberta Rocks Ltd - Extraction Pit

Councillor John MacGarva 22/451

Moved that Council give Bylaw 1342-22, being the to amend Bylaw 1289-18 (being the Land Use Bylaw) to change the land use designation of lands legally described A portion of Lot 14, Plan 971 0740 within SE 18-7-2 W5M from “Agriculture - A” to “Direct Control - DC” with the purpose of the proposed amendment is to allow for the development of a 12.1 acre (4.9ha) gravel pit, second reading.

Carried

Councillor Dave Cox 22/452

Moved that third reading on Bylaw 1342-22 be tabled pending discussion with developer on an alternate road access.

Carried

Planner Gavin Scott and Development Officer Assistant Laura McKinnon left the meeting at this time, the time being 8:20 pm.

F. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

G. COMMITTEE REPORTS / DIVISIONAL CONCERNS

1. Councillor Tony Bruder – Division 1

- a) ORRSC Meeting Minutes July 14, 2022
- b) ORRSC Meeting Minutes October 13, 2022
- c) Waterton Biosphere Newsletter
- d) Remembrance Day Representation at Twin Butte
- e) Crowsnest/Pincher Creek Landfill

2. Reeve Rick Lemire – Division 2

3. Councillor Dave Cox – Division 3

- a) Remembrance Day Representation at Town of Pincher Creek
- b) Beaver Mines Community Association

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

- c) Highway 3
- 4. Councillor Harold Hollingshead - Division 4
- 5. Councillor John MacGarva – Division 5
 - a) Crowsnest/Pincher Creek Landfill Meeting September 14, 2022
 - b) Lundbreck Citizens Council

Councillor John MacGarva 22/453

Moved that Reeve Rick Lemire, and Councillors Dave Cox and Tony Bruder be authorized a per diem for attending the Remembrance Day events.

Carried

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/454

Moved that Councillor Harold Hollingshead be authorized a per diem for attending the LGFF Funding Webinar in September 2022.

Carried

Councillor Dave Cox 22/455

Moved to accept the Committee Reports as information.

Carried

H. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

1. Operations

a) Operations Report

Councillor John MacGarva 22/456

Moved that Council receive the Operations report, which includes the call log, and the 2023 Snow Plow Map, for the period October 20, 2022 to November 15, 2022 as information.

Carried

b) Dam Safety Review

Councillor Dave Cox 22/457

Moved that Council receive the 2021 Dam Safety Review as information.

Carried

c) Utility Bylaw 1344-22

Councillor John MacGarva 22/458

Moved that Council give first reading to Bylaw 1344-22, being Bylaw for the purpose of regulating and providing for the terms, conditions, rates and charges for the supply and use of water services, wastewater services and solid waste services provided by the Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9;

AND THAT the required public hearing be scheduled for January 10, 2023.

Carried

d) Regional Wastewater Treatment Feasibility Assessment; Alberta Community Partnership Grant

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/459

Moved that the Municipal District of Pincher Creek support the submission of a 2022/23 Alberta Community Partnership grant application in support of the Regional Wastewater Treatment Feasibility Assessment project, and is prepared to manage the grant project and related compliance requirements;

AND THAT there is no matching contribution required.

Carried

2. Finance

a) Request to Waive Finance Charges – Castle Mountain Community Association

Councillor Dave Cox 22/460

Moved that the Council waive the finance charge of \$261.34 applied on the outstanding dust control invoice #IVC06516 issued to the Castle Mountain Community Association (CMCA).

Carried

b) 2022 November Financial Update

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/461

Moved that Council approve the additional expenses of \$20,000 in Water Services - Contracted Services, \$15,000 in Wastewater - Repairs and \$250,000 in Public Works - Fuel, Oil and Grease with said funds coming from operations;

AND THAT Council receive the November 2022 Financial Summaries as information.

Carried

c) 2023 Budget

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/462

Moved that Council approve the 2023 Budget as presented at the November 22, 2022 Council meeting.

Carried

3. Development and Community Services

a) AES

Councillor Dave Cox 22/463

Moved that the AES Report for November 2022 be received as information.

Carried

4. Municipal

a) Chief Administrative Officer Report

Councillor John MacGarva 22/464

Moved that Council receive for information, the Chief Administrative Officer's report for the period of October 21, 2022 to November 17, 2022.

Carried

b) Appointment to Boards

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

Councillor Harold Hollingshead 22/465

Moved that the following members are appointed to the following boards:

- Pincher Creek and District Library Board – Sandra Baker
- Town of Pincher Creek Housing Committee – Councillor John MacGarva with Councillor Harold Hollingshead as alternate
- Intermunicipal Development Plan Committees (IMDP's)
 - Municipality Crowsnest Pass – Councillors Dave Cox and John MacGarva
 - MD of Ranchlands – Councillors Harold Hollingshead and John MacGarva
 - MD of Willow Creek – Reeve Rick Lemire and Councillor Harold Hollingshead
 - Cardston County – Reeve Rick Lemire and Councillor Tony Bruder

Carried

c) Cancellation of December Meeting

Councillor Dave Cox 22/466

Moved that due to the Christmas closure, the regularly scheduled Council and Committee Meeting on December 27, 2022 be cancelled.

Carried

I. POLICY REVIEW

J. CORRESPONDENCE

1. For Action

a) Pincher Creek Huskies

Councillor John MacGarva 22/477

Moved that a donation in the amount of \$200 be donated to the U18 Pincher Creek Huskies hockey team for the upcoming home tournament December 2-4, 2022, with the amount to come from account Grants to Groups 2-75-0-770-2765.

Carried

2. For Information

Councillor John MacGarva 22/478

Moved that the following be received as information:

- a) Victim Services Redesign - Letter from Fox Creek
- b) Grant Specialist Report - August/September 2022
- c) Tree of Life Campaign

Carried

Administration was directed to invite Sergeant Ryan Hodge to a future Council meeting to discuss concerns related to the lack of Victim Service personnel in the area.

K. NEW BUSINESS

L. CLOSED SESSION

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/479

Moved that Council move into closed session to discuss the following, the time being 9:40 pm:

Minutes
 Council Meeting
 Municipal District of Pincher Creek No. 9
 November 22, 2022

- a) ICF Recreation Agreement – FOIP Sec. 24
- b) Water/Wastewater Meeting – FOIP Sec. 24
- c) PCESC Membership Agreement – Article 10 – Disestablishment of the Commission – FOIP Sec. 24

Councillor Dave Cox 22/480

Moved that Council move out of closed session, the time being 10:01 pm.

Carried

- a) ICF Recreation Agreement

Councillor Tony Bruder 22/481

Moved that a letter be sent back to the Town of Pincher Creek requested they reconsider their letter of October 31, 2022 in relation to the ICF Recreation Agreement.

Carried

- b) Water/Wastewater Meeting

Councillor Harold Hollingshead 22/482

Moved that the Water/Wastewater meeting discussion be received as information.

Carried

- c) PCESC Membership Agreement – Article 10 – Disestablishment of the Commission

Councillor Harold Hollingshead 22/483

Moved that administration seek legal advice for the MD of Pincher Creek in regards to the PCESC Membership Agreement.


Carried

M. ADJOURNMENT


Councillor John MacGarva 22/484

Moved that Council adjourn the meeting, the time being 10:03 pm.

Carried



REEVE



ACTING CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER